

ADVANCED TREATMENT OF T_a NON-MUSCLE INVASIVE BLADDER TUMORS

Theses of doctoral (PhD) dissertation

Dr. Daniel Balan



Head of the doctoral school: Dr. Lajos Bogár

Supervisor: Dr. Ákos Pytel

University of Pécs

Faculty of General Medicine

Department of Urology

Pécs

2020

ABBREVIATIONS

BCG - Bacillus Calmette Guerin

BC - Bladder Cancer

TNM - Tumor, Lymph Node, Metastasis

EORTC - European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer

LG - Low Grade

HG - High Grade

CIS - In-situ carcinoma

TURBT - Transurethral resection of bladder tumor

NMIBC- Non-muscle invasive bladder tumors

MIBC - Muscle-invasive bladder tumors

MMC– Mitomycin C

WHO - World Health Organization

PUNLMP - Papillary urothelial neoplasia with low malignancy potential

CT - Computed tomography

NLR - Neutrophil and lymphocyte ratio

CUETO - Spanish Urology Oncology Group (Club Urológico Español de Tratamiento Oncológico)

OS - Overall Survival

SD - Standard Deviation

SI – Single Immediate intravesical instillation

RFS - Recurrence-free survival

GENERAL AIMS

1. The main goal of the PhD dissertation is to analyze the biological evolution of Ta non-muscle invasive bladder tumors.
2. Identification of clinical, paraclinical, and histological parameters that affect disease management of Ta non-muscle invasive bladder tumors.
3. Analysis of recurrence, progression, cancer-specific survival, and overall survival in non-muscle-invasive bladder tumors in the light of clinical, paraclinical, and histological parameters.
4. The role of Neutrophil and Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) biomarker in clinical decision making: treatment, follow-up, and management.
5. Identification of differences in Ta G1 and Ta G2 non-muscle invasive bladder tumors that require a personalized therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Bladder cancer is one of the most common urinary tract tumors, ranking second after prostate cancer. About half of these newly diagnosed tumors are low-grade malignancies (LG) and > 70% are non-muscle invasive bladder cancers (NMIBC).

The basic pillar for the diagnosis and treatment of non-invasive bladder cancer is transurethral resection of the bladder tumor (TURBT) and the latest version of the European Association of Urology guidelines, "we recommend immediate chemotherapeutic instillation in tumors suspected of low or moderate risk." We speak of low-risk tumors with primary, unique, TaG1 (low malignant potential papillary urothelial neoplasia, LG) and <3 cm, as well as non-associated in-situ carcinoma (CIS). Medium-risk tumors are those that do not fit into the low category or any of the following: T1 tumor, G3 (high grade [HG]) tumor, and CIS; or recurrent and large (> 3 cm) TaG1G2 / LG tumors (all traits should be present).

Although NMIBC is a non-muscle invasive tumor, the risk of recurrence and progression is well known. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) has introduced a scoring system to calculate the likelihood of recurrence and progression of the disease.

To the best of our knowledge, a long-term comparison of well-differentiated (G1) and moderately well-differentiated (G2) Ta tumors (according to the 1973 World Health Organization (WHO) system) has not been released for overall survival (OS). In a number of large case-control studies analyzing the long-term survival of NMIBC patients, usually after TURBT and intravesical chemotherapy, the survival range varies between studies, but is mostly higher than in muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC).

AIMS OF THE STUDY

The main aim of the study was to compare the long-term survival rate between well-differentiated (G1) and moderately well-differentiated (G2) type Ta bladder cancer patients after transurethral resection of the bladder tumor. The secondary objective was to examine the clinical and pathological prognostic factors of patients from the perspective of overall survival rate.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Our study included 243 Ta non-muscle invasive bladder cancer patients who underwent transurethral resection of bladder tumor at the Târgu Mureş Urology Department over a period of 3 years, between January 2006 and December 2008. We received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of Mureş County Hospital.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: primary Ta, G1, and G2 tumors not associated with in-situ carcinoma (these can be classified as low to moderate tumors according to the guidelines of the European Association of Urology). Exclusion criteria were: all recurrent or advanced cancers, any T1 and / or G3 / HG tumors, any concomitant CIS, and lost follow-up. EORTC risk scores were calculated for all patients; the EORTC risk tables allow the calculation of the probability of recurrence and progression, taking into account the number of tumors, tumor size, previous recurrence rate, T-category, concomitant CIS, and classification.

Histological classification was performed according to the 1973 World Health Organization (WHO) classification. Recurrence was defined as relapse of disease after more than 3 months postoperatively and progression was defined as the appearance of T1 or muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBCs).

According to the guidelines of the European Association of Urology, Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) intravesical immunotherapy was used to treat moderate-risk Ta tumors following the Lamm system: 1 instillation per week for 6 weeks and then 3-week instillation for 3 or 6 months.

Follow-up was performed by clinical examination and cystoscopy every 3 months for the first 2 years and by cystoscopy every 6 months for the next 3 years and annually thereafter. The first endpoint was the time to first recurrence; second endpoint is time to progression and third endpoint is death from any trigger (from the National Health Insurance Registry).

RESULTS

A total of 243 patients were analyzed for G1 and G2 Ta tumors and 164 met the inclusion criteria. Seventy-nine patients were excluded from our study due to the presence of concomitant in-situ carcinoma (1 patient) (108), high-grade G3 / HG tumors (47 patients), loss of follow-up, and incomplete data (31 patients) for 10-year survival. The mean follow-up of patients was 109 months (IQR 70-121 months). The mean age at the time of diagnosis was 63.3 years (21–89) and 135 (82.3%) were male patients. In 78 cases (47.6%) multiple tumors were observed, in 86 (52.4%) cases the tumor diameter was > 3 cm, G2 tumors were observed in 105 (64%) patients. Intravesical immunotherapy with BCG was administered to 32 (19.5%) patients

according to the guidelines in force at the time (moderate-risk Ta tumors). Most patients had EORTC recurrence rates ranging from 1 to 4: 99 patients (60.4%). The EORTC progression score ranged from 1 to 6 in 107 patients (65.2%). Recurrence was observed in 26 patients (15.8%) and progression in 5 (3%) patients. A total of 102 patients (62.2%) survived 10 years after diagnosis (Table 1).

Table 1: Characteristics of 164 patients with low and moderate Ta bladder tumors at the time of diagnosis

Patients characteristics	No patients, %	G1	G2	P
Age				
Mean	63.3y	62.9y	63.6y	.71
Gender				
Male	135 (82.3%)	45 (76.3%)	90 (85.7%)	.12
Female	29 (17.7%)	14 (23.7%)	15 (14.3%)	
Tu diameter				
<3cm	78 (47.6%)	34 (57.6%)	44 (41.9%)	.053
>3cm	86 (52.4%)	25 (42.4%)	61 (58.1%)	
No tumors				
Single	86 (52.4%)	32 (54.2%)	54 (51.4%)	.73
Multiple (2–7)	78 (47.6%)	27 (45.8%)	51 (48.6%)	
Grade				
G1	59 (36%)	—	—	—
G2	105 (64%)	—	—	—
BCG treatment				
No	132 (80.5%)	45 (76.3%)	87 (82.8%)	.30
Yes	32 (19.5%)	14 (23.7%)	18 (17.2%)	
Rec EORTC score				
0	26 (15.8%)	26 (44.1%)	0	<.001*
1–4	99 (60.4%)	17 (28.8%)	82 (78.1%)	
5–9	39 (23.8%)	16 (27.1%)	23 (21.9%)	
Prog EORTC score				
0	57 (34.8%)	26 (44%)	31 (29.5%)	.06
1–6	107 (65.2%)	33 (56%)	74 (70.5%)	
Recurrence	26 (15.8%)	13 (22%)	13 (12.4%)	.10
Progression	5 (3%)	3 (5%)	2 (1.9%)	.25
Deaths	62 (37.8%)	19 (32.2%)	43 (41%)	.26

BCG=Bacillus Calmette–Guerin, CI=confidence interval, EORTC=The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, HR=hazard ratio.

Table 2 shows the relationship between clinicopathological features and overall survival in the study group. In the study of deaths, at the time of diagnosis, advanced age was

associated with a lower overall survival: 69 mean age, compared with a mean age of 59.8 for survivors ($P < .001$). Mortality was higher in men (38.5%) than in women (34.3%), but we did not find a statistically significant correlation ($P = .68$). Even if no statistically significant correlation was found, the survival rate in G1 (67.8%) was higher compared to G2 (59%) patients ($P = .26$). BCG treatment was associated with a higher overall survival of 84.4% compared with 56.8% of patients who did not receive BCG therapy, $P = 0.004$.

Table 2: Comparison of clinical-pathological factors with overall survival

Patients characteristics	Death		P
	No (102 patients)	Yes (62 patients)	
Age mean	59.8y	69y	<.001*
Gender male (no/%)	83 (61.5)	52 (38.5)	.68
Female	19 (65.5)	10 (34.5)	
Tu diameter <3 cm (no/%)	51 (65.4)	27 (34.6)	.42
>3 cm	51 (59.3)	35 (40.3)	
No tumors single (no/%)	57 (66.3)	29 (33.7)	.25
2–7 (no/%)	45 (57.7)	33 (42.3)	
Grade G1 (no/%)	40 (67.8)	19 (32.2)	.26
G2 (no/%)	62 (59)	43 (41)	
BCG treatment no (no/%)	75 (56.8)	57 (43.2)	.004*
Yes (no/%)	27 (84.4)	5 (15.6)	
Recurrence EORTC score 0 (no/%)	17 (65.4)	9 (34.6)	.93
1–4 (no/%)	61 (61.6)	38 (38.4)	
5–9 (no/%)	24 (61.5)	15 (38.5)	
Progression EORTC score 0 (no/%)	40 (70.3)	17 (29.8)	.12
1–6 (no/%)	62 (57.9)	45 (42.1)	
Recurrence no (no/%)	87 (63)	51 (37)	.6
Yes (no/%)	15 (57.7)	11 (42.3)	
Progression no (no/%)	101 (63.5)	58 (36.5)	.04*
Yes (no/%)	1 (20)	4 (80)	

BCG = Bacillus Calmette–Guerin, EORTC = The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer.

Univariate Cox analysis showed that the negative prognostic factors for overall survival were: advanced age, risk ratio (HR) 1.07; BCG treatment lack HR 0.30 and progression during follow-

up HR 5.25. Multivariate Cox analysis showed that independent predictors of overall survival were: age (HR 1.07); EORTC recurrence score from 1 to 4 (HR 0.23); EORTC recurrence score 5-9 (HR 0.17); and progression (HR 5.18) (Table 3).

Table 3: Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyzes to predict the overall survival of 164 low- and moderate Ta bladder cancer patients.

Prognostic factor	Univariable			Multivariable		
	HR	95% CI	P	HR	95% CI	P
Age cont	1.07	1.04–1.10	<.001*	1.07	1.03–1.10	<.001*
Gender	0.93	0.47–1.83	.84	0.82	0.40–1.68	.60
Multifocality	1.29	0.78–2.13	.31	1.90	0.81–4.43	.13
Diameter	1.26	0.76–2.08	.36	1.18	0.49–2.81	.70
Grade	1.31	0.76–2.25	.32	1.75	0.79–3.83	.16
EORTC rec				Ref.		
1–4 score	1.08	0.52–2.24	.82	0.23	0.06–0.91	.036*
4–9 score	1.06	0.46–2.42	.89	0.17	0.03–0.76	.02*
EORTC prog 1–6 score	1.52	0.87–2.67	.13	1.85	0.52–6.56	.33
BCG treatment (no treat)	0.3	0.12–0.79	.01*	0.40	0.15–1.05	.063
Recurrence	1.28	0.67–2.46	.44	1.16	0.48–2.77	.72
Progression	5.25	1.89–14.57	<.001*	5.18	1.33–20.18	.018*

BCG=Bacillus Calmette–Guerin, CI=confidence interval, EORTC=The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, HR=hazard ratio.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis highlighted that advanced age (HR 1.10) and lack of BCG treatments (HR 0.24 and 0.29) are independent predictors of mortality in the 10 years after diagnosis (Table 4).

Table 4: Univariate and multivariate logistic regression predicting the overall survival of 164 patients with low and moderate Ta bladder cancer.

Prognostic factor	Univariable			Multivariable		
	HR	95% CI	P	HR	95% CI	P
Age cont	1.10	1.05–1.14	<.001*	1.10	1.05–1.15	<.001*
Gender	0.84	0.36–1.94	.68	0.73	0.27–1.98	.54
Multifocality	1.44	0.76–2.71	.25	2.46	0.66–9.16	.17
Diameter	1.29	0.68–2.44	.42	0.94	0.23–3.77	.94
Grade	1.46	0.74–2.85	.26	1.84	0.67–5.00	.23
EORTC rec				Ref.		
1	1.17	0.47–2.90	.72	0.30	0.05–1.56	.15
2	1.18	0.41–3.31	.75	0.21	0.02–1.53	.12
EORTC prog	1.70	0.86–3.38	.12	2.04	0.32–12.79	.44
BCG treatment	0.24	0.08–0.67	.006*	0.29	0.09–0.90	.03*

BCG=Bacillus Calmette–Guerin, CI=confidence interval, EORTC=The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, HR=hazard ratio.

The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed an 8% difference in survival between grades G1 and G2, but no statistically significant difference was found. The five-year survival of G1

patients was 86.4% (CI 74.7–93), while that of G2 patients was 84.7% (CI 76.3–90.3). Overall 10-year survival was 67.8% (CI 54.3–78.1) in G1 patients and 59% (CI 49–67.3) in G2 patients.

BCG therapy was effective in terms of overall survival, with 5-year survival in 82.6% (CI 74.9–88) in patients not receiving BCG therapy and 96.8% (CI 79.8–99) in patients receiving BCG therapy. 10-year survival in patients not receiving BCG therapy was 56.8% (CI 47.9–64.7), while 10-year survival in patients receiving BCG was 84.4% (CI 66.4–93.1). , P = 0.006.

DISCUSSION

The long-term survival of 164 Ta G1-G2 non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) patients was assessed in a center with a mean follow-up of 109 months. Ten years after the time of diagnosis, 62% of patients were survivors. At diagnosis, advanced age was associated with worse overall survival between TaG1 and TaG2 patients in terms of 10-year overall survival. More interesting is the statistically significant relationship we found between BCG treatment and longer overall survival.

In our study, we also demonstrated that advanced age is an independent prognostic factor. At 5-year follow-up, 27% of patients older than 70 years were cancer-free, compared with 27% of patients younger than 70 years (37%), including high-risk NMIBC patients.

Data on long-term (at least 10 years) overall survival after the initial diagnosis of Ta bladder tumors are lacking in the literature. In a large multicenter group of TaG1 patients, the estimated overall survival at 5 years was 86% (similar to our study, where the overall survival at TaG1 at 5 years was 86.4%), but more than half of the patients received immediate postoperative intravesical chemotherapy.

Our study has several limitations. First, retrospective analysis needs further confirmation in future research. Second, patients did not receive immediate postoperative intravesical chemotherapy, although patients at moderate risk received adjuvant therapy. Third, we did not perform a central pathological examination of the samples and did not redistribute the samples to the most recent WHO classification. Furthermore, there were no data on the smoking status of patients, which is a well-known prognostic factor for urothelial carcinomas. In addition, specific tumor survival should have been a primary target, but unfortunately we did not have access to patients' death certificates. Despite these limiting factors, this study met its goal of comparing the overall survival of newly diagnosed G1 and G2 Ta bladder cancer patients 10 years after diagnosis.

CONCLUSION

Patients with well-differentiated (G1) and moderately well-differentiated (G2) Ta tumors showed similar long-term overall survival after diagnosis. Bacillus Calmette Guerin treatment leads to longer overall survival, even in moderate-risk bladder tumors.

THE ROLE OF NEUTROPHIL TO LYMPHOCYTE RATIO IN PATIENTS WITH PTA NON-MUSCLE INVASIVE BLADDER CANCER

INTRODUCTION

In neoplasms, a significant amount of immune cells accumulate in the microenvironment of tumor cells, which is maintained by the cytokines and inflammatory mediators produced by the tumor itself or by the tumor-associated stroma. Inflammatory factors promote tumor formation and progression.

Elevated laboratory values due to inflammatory processes may be predictors of tumor extent and aggression. One such biological marker is the neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), which has a prognostic role in the prognosis of pancreatic, breast, and colon tumors in addition to urological tumors such as renal cell carcinoma and upper urinary tract urothelial carcinomas. Several studies demonstrate the importance of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), including in determining the prognosis of bladder tumors.

The use of biomarkers with predictive and prognostic roles is essential in determining the evolution of tumors. It is important that these are part of everyday practice, easily accessible and cost-effective.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of the study was to investigate the role of NLR as a prognostic biomarker for disease recurrence, progression and survival of pTa NMIBC.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In a retrospective study, we enrolled 54 histologically confirmed patients with stage Ta BC who underwent transurethral resection of the bladder tumor (TURBT) between January 2007 and December 2008 (mean follow-up 106 months (IQT 68-116)) at the Urology Clinic in Târgu Mureş. Selection criteria were: primary tumor, low degree of differentiation, NLR determination before resection; NLR was assessed as pathological if it exceeded a value above 3.

Statistical analysis was performed using the STATA 11 Statistical Program. Histopathological classification was performed according to the 1973 guidelines of the World Health Organization.

Clinical follow-up was based on cystoscopy, every three months for the first two years, every six months for the following three years, and annually thereafter. Follow-up CT imaging was performed according to the guidelines of the then EAU (European Association of Urology) in clinically justified cases. Differences between non-parametric variables (expressed in median) were analyzed by Mann – Whitney U test.

Survival analysis was performed as follows: the Kaplan – Meyer method and the long-rank test were used for univariate comparisons. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression models investigated the association of prognostic factors with overall survival after TURBT. All P values were two-sided and defined as statistically significant $P < 0.05$. Statistical analyzes were performed using Stata 11.0 statistical software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX).

RESULTS

The mean age of the patients in the study was 63 years (IQR 55-72). A significant proportion of patients had an NLR < 3 (37 patients / 68.51%). The mean recurrence EORTC score was 4 (IQR 1-6) and the progression EORTC score was 3 (IQR 0-6). Overall, recurrence occurred in 8 (14.81%) patients and 2 (3.70%) patients were identified with T2 or higher progression during the follow-up period (29, IQR 25–36 months).

No statistically significant association was found between NLR > 3 and other clinical or pathological factors, however, all patients with progression were in the NLR > 3 group (Table 1). Kaplan-Meier analysis of Progression-free survival (PFS) showed lower PFS in the NLR > 3 group: 94.12% vs. 100%, $p = 0.04$. Recurrence was found in 4 (10.8%) patients in the low NLR group; similarly, 4 (23.5%) patients from the high NLR group presented recurrence.

Based on multivariate Cox regression analyzes, NLR was found to be a prognostic factor for recurrence (HR = 1.09, p = 0.01) (Table 2).

Kaplan Meier's analysis of recurrence-free survival (RFS) showed no statistical significance among NLR groups: 82.67% vs. 64.12%, p = 0.26, however, multivariate Cox regression analysis demonstrates that NLR as a continuous variable is an independent prognostic factor for RFS.

During follow-up (106 months), 18 patients died, of whom 7 (41.2%) were from the NLR> 3 group. The Kaplan-Meier overall survival curve showed a 10-year overall survival of 70.27% in the low NLR group, compared with 58.82% overall survival in the high NLR group, p = 0.45. In the multivariate analysis, age at the time of diagnosis proved to be a predictive factor, while NLR showed no statistically significant association (HR 1.03, CI 0.98-1.08, p = 0.22) (Table 3).

Table 1. Characteristics of the 54 patients with pTa bladder cancer at diagnosis according to NLR value

Patients characteristics	All patients	NLR<3	NLR>3	P value
Total, n (%)	54	37	17	
Gender	10 (18.5 %)	6 (16.2%)	4 (23.5%)	0.52
male				
female	44 (81.5 %)	31 (83.8%)	13 (76.5%)	
Tu. Diameter <3 cm	21 (38.9 %)	12 (32.4%)	9 (52.9%)	0.15
>3 cm	33 (61.1 %)	25 (67.5%)	8 (47.1%)	
No. tumors	24 (44.4 %)	17 (45.9%)	7 (41.2%)	0.74
single				
Multiple (2-7)	30 (55.5 %)	20 (54.0%)	10 (58.8%)	
Grade	22 (40.7 %)	16 (43.2%)	6 (35.3%)	0.58
G1				
G2	32 (59.3 %)	21 (56.7%)	11 (64.7%)	

Recurrence	8 (15.8 %)	4 (10.8%)	4 (23.5 %)	0.22
Progression	2 (3.7 %)	0	2 (11.7%)	0.03
Deaths	18 (33.3 %)	11 (29.7%)	7 (41.2%)	0.40

Table 2. Multivariable Cox regression analyses predicting recurrence of 54 patients with Ta bladder cancer.

Prognostic factor	Multivariable		
	HR	95 % CI	p value
NLR	1.09	1.02-1.16	0.01
Gender Male vs Female	0.99	0.17-5.58	0.99
Age cont.	0.98	0.91-1.06	0.73
Diameter<3cm vs >3 cm	8.23	0.77-87.45	0.08
Grade G1vs G2	0.17	0.02-1.01	0.052
Multifocality Single vs Multiple	0.35	0.04-3.01	0.34

CI: confidence interval, HR: hazard ratio

*NLR cat: HR 4.81, 95 % CI: 0.86-26.72, p value : 0.07

Table 3. Multivariable Cox regression analyses predicting survival of 54 patients with Ta bladder cancer.

Prognostic factor	Multivariable		
	HR	95 % CI	p value
NLR	1.03	0.98-1.08	0.22
Gender Male vs Female	1.02	0.32-3.25	0.96
Age cont.	1.06	1-1.12	0.03
Diameter<3cm vs >3 cm	1.8	0.42-7.7	0.42
Grade G1vs G2	1.02	0.35-2.98	0.96
Multifocality Single vs Multiple	0.72	0.18-2.85	0.64

CI: confidence interval, HR: hazard ratio

*NLR cat: HR 1.17 , 95 % CI: 0.42-3.24, p value : 0.76

DISCUSSION

The use of biomarkers that play a predictive and prognostic role is essential for tumor evolution. The integration of these markers into daily practice is extremely important, and they should be easily accessible and cost-effective.

In this regard, we demonstrated that NLR as a continuous variable is a prognostic factor for recurrence in Ta NMIBC. As a categorical variable, NLR > 3 was not statistically significant (HR 4.81, p = 0.007). However, a reference value is important in clinical practice and, since NLR > 3 has been validated for high-risk NMIBC, it can be used as a low-risk NMIBC threshold.

In recent years, great importance has been attached to the prognostic role of biomarkers in the blood in determining the evolution / outcome of tumors.

CONCLUSION

Among the limitations of our study we have to mention its retrospective nature; our patient follow-up extended for almost 10 years after TURBT. In addition, the sample size was an additional limitation, but considering the long follow-up and previous results for the high-grade NMIBC (those also from the monocentric cohort and further validated in a multi-institutional cohort), our results can be considered reliable. . Of course, these findings need to be externally verified in a multi-institutional study.

In the group analyzed in our study, high NLR is considered a statistically significant value and an independent prognostic factor for poor prognosis of stage Ta bladder tumors. In low-risk, non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer, NLR may be a reliable biomarker in clinical decision-making regarding follow-up schedule.

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

1. Treatment of patients with non-invasive bladder cancer should be individualized.
2. Assessment of prognostic factors is mandatory in the individualized treatment of non-invasive bladder cancer patients.
3. Patients should be grouped according to a risk protocol developed by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC).
4. Postoperative follow-up and treatment of patients with Ta non-invasive bladder cancer should be based on the risk of recurrence and progression.
5. The need for adjuvant treatment is unquestionable.
6. Biomarkers with new prognostic factors can radically change clinical decision-making.
7. The Neutrophil and Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) is an available biomarker that could be widely used in clinical practice and has a prognostic role.

REFERENCES

1. J F, I S, M E, R D, S E, C M, et al. GLOBOCAN 2012: Estimated Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide in 2012 v1.0 [Internet]. [cited 2019 Mar 23]. Available from: <http://publications.iarc.fr/Databases/Iarc-Cancerbases/GLOBOCAN-2012-Estimated-Cancer-Incidence-Mortality-And-Prevalence-Worldwide-In-2012-V1.0-2012>
2. Burger M, Catto JWF, Dalbagni G, Grossman HB, Herr H, Karakiewicz P, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of urothelial bladder cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2013 Feb;63(2):234–41.
3. Chavan S, Bray F, Lortet-Tieulent J, Goodman M, Jemal A. International variations in bladder cancer incidence and mortality. *Eur Urol*. 2014 Jul;66(1):59–73.
4. Compérat E, Larré S, Roupret M, Neuzillet Y, Pignot G, Quintens H, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics of urothelial bladder cancer in patients less than 40 years old. *Virchows Arch*. 2015 May;466(5):589–94.
5. Freedman ND, Silverman DT, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Abnet CC. Association between smoking and risk of bladder cancer among men and women. *JAMA*. 2011 Aug 17;306(7):737–45.
6. van Osch FH, Jochems SH, van Schooten F-J, Bryan RT, Zeegers MP. Quantified relations between exposure to tobacco smoking and bladder cancer risk: a meta-analysis of 89 observational studies. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(3):857–70.
7. Colt JS, Friesen MC, Stewart PA, Donguk P, Johnson A, Schwenn M, et al. A case-control study of occupational exposure to metalworking fluids and bladder cancer risk among men. *Occup Environ Med*. 2014 Oct;71(10):667–74.
8. Pesch B, Taeger D, Johnen G, Gawrych K, Bonberg N, Schwentner C, et al. Screening for bladder cancer with urinary tumor markers in chemical workers with exposure to aromatic amines. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2014;87(7):715–24.
9. Egbers L, Grotenhuis AJ, Aben KK, Alfred Witjes J, Kiemeneij LA, Vermeulen SH. The prognostic value of family history among patients with urinary bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2015 Mar 1;136(5):1117–24.
10. Corral R, Lewinger JP, Van Den Berg D, Joshi AD, Yuan J-M, Gago-Dominguez M, et al. Comprehensive analyses of DNA repair pathways, smoking and bladder cancer risk in Los Angeles and Shanghai. *Int J Cancer*. 2014 Jul 15;135(2):335–47.
11. Figueroa JD, Middlebrooks CD, Banday AR, Ye Y, Garcia-Closas M, Chatterjee N, et al. Identification of a novel susceptibility locus at 13q34 and refinement of the 20p12.2 region as a multi-signal locus associated with bladder cancer risk in individuals of European ancestry. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2016 Mar 15;25(6):1203–14.

12. Wu J, Huang Q, Meng D, Huang M, Li C, Qin T. A Functional rs353293 Polymorphism in the Promoter of miR-143/145 Is Associated with a Reduced Risk of Bladder Cancer. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(7):e0159115.
13. Zhong J-H, Zhao Z, Liu J, Yu H-L, Zhou J-Y, Shi R. Association between APE1 Asp148Glu polymorphism and the risk of urinary cancers: a meta-analysis of 18 case-control studies. *Onco Targets Ther*. 2016;9:1499–510.
14. Al-Zalabani AH, Stewart KFJ, Wesselius A, Schols AMWJ, Zeegers MP. Modifiable risk factors for the prevention of bladder cancer: a systematic review of meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2016;31(9):811–51.
15. Martin C, Leiser CL, O’Neil B, Gupta S, Lowrance WT, Kohlmann W, et al. Familial Cancer Clustering in Urothelial Cancer: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2018 May 1;110(5):527–33.
16. Steinmaus C, Ferreccio C, Acevedo J, Yuan Y, Liaw J, Durán V, et al. Increased lung and bladder cancer incidence in adults after in utero and early-life arsenic exposure. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2014 Aug;23(8):1529–38.
17. Buckland G, Ros MM, Roswall N, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Travier N, Tjonneland A, et al. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet and risk of bladder cancer in the EPIC cohort study. *Int J Cancer*. 2014 May 15;134(10):2504–11.
18. Liu H, Wang X-C, Hu G-H, Guo Z-F, Lai P, Xu L, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of bladder cancer: an updated meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur J Cancer Prev*. 2015 Nov;24(6):508–16.
19. Vieira AR, Vingeliene S, Chan DSM, Aune D, Abar L, Navarro Rosenblatt D, et al. Fruits, vegetables, and bladder cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Med*. 2015 Jan;4(1):136–46.
20. Zhao L, Tian X, Duan X, Ye Y, Sun M, Huang J. Association of body mass index with bladder cancer risk: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Oncotarget*. 2017 May 16;8(20):33990–4000.
21. Tuccori M, Filion KB, Yin H, Yu OH, Platt RW, Azoulay L. Pioglitazone use and risk of bladder cancer: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2016 Mar 30;352:i1541.
22. Teleka S, Häggström C, Nagel G, Bjørge T, Manjer J, Ulmer H, et al. Risk of bladder cancer by disease severity in relation to metabolic factors and smoking: A prospective pooled cohort study of 800,000 men and women. *Int J Cancer*. 2018 15;143(12):3071–82.
23. Sobin LH, Compton CC. TNM seventh edition: what’s new, what’s changed: communication from the International Union Against Cancer and the American Joint Committee on Cancer. *Cancer*. 2010 Nov 15;116(22):5336–9.
24. Otto W, Breyer J, Herdegen S, Eder F, Bertz S, May M, et al. WHO 1973 grade 3 and infiltrative growth pattern proved, aberrant E-cadherin expression tends to be of predictive value for progression in

a series of stage T1 high-grade bladder cancer after organ-sparing approach. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2017 Mar;49(3):431–7.

25. van Rhijn BWG, van der Kwast TH, Alkhateeb SS, Fleshner NE, van Leenders GJLH, Bostrom PJ, et al. A new and highly prognostic system to discern T1 bladder cancer substage. *Eur Urol*. 2012 Feb;61(2):378–84.

26. WHO Classification of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs. Fourth edition - WHO - OMS - [Internet]. [cited 2019 Mar 24]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/bookorders/anglais/detart1.jsp?codlan=1&codcol=70&codcch=4008>

27. Colombo R, Hurle R, Moschini M, Freschi M, Colombo P, Colecchia M, et al. Feasibility and Clinical Roles of Different Substaging Systems at First and Second Transurethral Resection in Patients with T1 High-Grade Bladder Cancer. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2018;4(1):87–93.

28. H M, PA H, TM U, VE R. WHO Classification of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs [Internet]. [cited 2019 Mar 24]. Available from: <http://publications.iarc.fr/Book-And-Report-Series/Who-Iarc-Classification-Of-Tumours/Who-Classification-Of-Tumours-Of-The-Urinary-System-And-Male-Genital-Organs-2016>

29. Epstein JI, Amin MB, Reuter VR, Mostofi FK. The World Health Organization/International Society of Urological Pathology consensus classification of urothelial (transitional cell) neoplasms of the urinary bladder. Bladder Consensus Conference Committee. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1998 Dec;22(12):1435–48.

30. Soukup V, Čapoun O, Cohen D, Hernández V, Babjuk M, Burger M, et al. Prognostic Performance and Reproducibility of the 1973 and 2004/2016 World Health Organization Grading Classification Systems in Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A European Association of Urology Non-muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer Guidelines Panel Systematic Review. *Eur Urol*. 2017;72(5):801–13.

31. May M, Brookman-Amisshah S, Roigas J, Hartmann A, Störkel S, Kristiansen G, et al. Prognostic accuracy of individual uropathologists in noninvasive urinary bladder carcinoma: a multicentre study comparing the 1973 and 2004 World Health Organisation classifications. *Eur Urol*. 2010 May;57(5):850–8.

32. van Rhijn BWG, van der Kwast TH, Kakiashvili DM, Fleshner NE, van der Aa MNM, Alkhateeb S, et al. Pathological stage review is indicated in primary pT1 bladder cancer. *BJU Int*. 2010 Jul;106(2):206–11.

33. Compérat E, Egevad L, Lopez-Beltran A, Camparo P, Algaba F, Amin M, et al. An interobserver reproducibility study on invasiveness of bladder cancer using virtual microscopy and heatmaps. *Histopathology*. 2013 Dec;63(6):756–66.

34. Mangrud OM, Waalen R, Gudlaugsson E, Dalen I, Tasdemir I, Janssen EAM, et al. Reproducibility and prognostic value of WHO1973 and WHO2004 grading systems in TaT1 urothelial carcinoma of the urinary bladder. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(1):e83192.

35. van Rhijn BWG, van Leenders GJLH, Ooms BCM, Kirkels WJ, Zlotta AR, Boevé ER, et al. The pathologist's mean grade is constant and individualizes the prognostic value of bladder cancer grading. *Eur Urol*. 2010 Jun;57(6):1052–7.

36. Luchey AM, Manimala NJ, Dickinson S, Dhillon J, Agarwal G, Lockhart JL, et al. Change in Management Based on Pathologic Second Opinion Among Bladder Cancer Patients Presenting to a Comprehensive Cancer Center: Implications for Clinical Practice. *Urology*. 2016 Jul;93:130–4.
37. Kim HS, Kim M, Jeong CW, Kwak C, Kim HH, Ku JH. Presence of lymphovascular invasion in urothelial bladder cancer specimens after transurethral resections correlates with risk of upstaging and survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Urol Oncol*. 2014 Nov;32(8):1191–9.
38. Tilki D, Shariat SF, Lotan Y, Rink M, Karakiewicz PI, Schoenberg MP, et al. Lymphovascular invasion is independently associated with bladder cancer recurrence and survival in patients with final stage T1 disease and negative lymph nodes after radical cystectomy. *BJU Int*. 2013 Jun;111(8):1215–21.
39. Martin-Doyle W, Leow JJ, Orsola A, Chang SL, Bellmunt J. Improving selection criteria for early cystectomy in high-grade t1 bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of 15,215 patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015 Feb 20;33(6):643–50.
40. Mari A, Kimura S, Foerster B, Abufaraj M, D’Andrea D, Hassler M, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of lymphovascular invasion in bladder cancer transurethral resection specimens. *BJU Int*. 2019 Jan;123(1):11–21.
41. D Andrea D, Abufaraj M, Susani M, Ristl R, Foerster B, Kimura S, et al. Accurate prediction of progression to muscle-invasive disease in patients with pT1G3 bladder cancer: A clinical decision-making tool. *Urol Oncol*. 2018;36(5):239.e1-239.e7.
42. Compérat E, Roupret M, Yaxley J, Reynolds J, Varinot J, Ouzaïd I, et al. Micropapillary urothelial carcinoma of the urinary bladder: a clinicopathological analysis of 72 cases. *Pathology*. 2010 Dec;42(7):650–4.
43. Kaimakliotis HZ, Monn MF, Cary KC, Pedrosa JA, Rice K, Masterson TA, et al. Plasmacytoid variant urothelial bladder cancer: is it time to update the treatment paradigm? *Urol Oncol*. 2014 Aug;32(6):833–8.
44. Willis DL, Flaig TW, Hansel DE, Milowsky MI, Grubb RL, Al-Ahmadie HA, et al. Micropapillary bladder cancer: current treatment patterns and review of the literature. *Urol Oncol*. 2014 Aug;32(6):826–32.
45. Beltran AL, Cheng L, Montironi R, Blanca A, Leva M, Rouprêt M, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics and outcome of nested carcinoma of the urinary bladder. *Virchows Arch*. 2014 Aug;465(2):199–205.
46. Soave A, Schmidt S, Dahlem R, Minner S, Engel O, Kluth LA, et al. Does the extent of variant histology affect oncological outcomes in patients with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder treated with radical cystectomy? *Urol Oncol*. 2015 Jan;33(1):21.e1-21.e9.
47. Masson-Lecomte A, Xylinas E, Bouquot M, Sibony M, Allory Y, Comperat E, et al. Oncological outcomes of advanced muscle-invasive bladder cancer with a micropapillary variant after radical cystectomy and adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy. *World J Urol*. 2015 Aug;33(8):1087–93.
48. Seisen T, Compérat E, Léon P, Roupret M. Impact of histological variants on the outcomes of nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer after transurethral resection. *Curr Opin Urol*. 2014 Sep;24(5):524–31.

49. Willis DL, Fernandez MI, Dickstein RJ, Parikh S, Shah JB, Pisters LL, et al. Clinical outcomes of cT1 micropapillary bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2015 Apr;193(4):1129–34.
50. Burger M, van der Aa MNM, van Oers JMM, Brinkmann A, van der Kwast TH, Steyerberg EC, et al. Prediction of progression of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer by WHO 1973 and 2004 grading and by FGFR3 mutation status: a prospective study. *Eur Urol*. 2008 Oct;54(4):835–43.
51. Frstrup N, Ulhøi BP, Birkenkamp-Demtröder K, Mansilla F, Sanchez-Carbayo M, Segersten U, et al. Cathepsin E, maspin, Plk1, and survivin are promising prognostic protein markers for progression in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Am J Pathol*. 2012 May;180(5):1824–34.
52. Palou J, Algaba F, Vera I, Rodriguez O, Villavicencio H, Sanchez-Carbayo M. Protein expression patterns of ezrin are predictors of progression in T1G3 bladder tumours treated with nonmaintenance bacillus Calmette-Guérin. *Eur Urol*. 2009 Nov;56(5):829–36.
53. van Rhijn BWG, van der Kwast TH, Liu L, Fleshner NE, Bostrom PJ, Vis AN, et al. The FGFR3 mutation is related to favorable pT1 bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2012 Jan;187(1):310–4.
54. Remy E, Rebouissou S, Chaouiya C, Zinovyev A, Radvanyi F, Calzone L. A Modeling Approach to Explain Mutually Exclusive and Co-Occurring Genetic Alterations in Bladder Tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res*. 2015 Oct 1;75(19):4042–52.
55. Dyrskjøt L, Reinert T, Algaba F, Christensen E, Nieboer D, Hermann GG, et al. Prognostic Impact of a 12-gene Progression Score in Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A Prospective Multicentre Validation Study. *Eur Urol*. 2017;72(3):461–9.
56. Marzouka N-A-D, Eriksson P, Rovira C, Liedberg F, Sjö Dahl G, Höglund M. A validation and extended description of the Lund taxonomy for urothelial carcinoma using the TCGA cohort. *Sci Rep*. 2018 Feb 27;8(1):3737.
57. Ramirez D, Gupta A, Canter D, Harrow B, Dobbs RW, Kucherov V, et al. Microscopic haematuria at time of diagnosis is associated with lower disease stage in patients with newly diagnosed bladder cancer. *BJU Int*. 2016 May;117(5):783–6.
58. Trinh TW, Glazer DI, Sadow CA, Sahni VA, Geller NL, Silverman SG. Bladder cancer diagnosis with CT urography: test characteristics and reasons for false-positive and false-negative results. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. 2018;43(3):663–71.
59. Nolte-Ernsting C, Cowan N. Understanding multislice CT urography techniques: Many roads lead to Rome. *Eur Radiol*. 2006 Dec;16(12):2670–86.
60. Goessl C, Knispel HH, Miller K, Klän R. Is routine excretory urography necessary at first diagnosis of bladder cancer? *J Urol*. 1997 Feb;157(2):480–1.
61. Palou J, Rodríguez-Rubio F, Huguet J, Segarra J, Ribal MJ, Alcaraz A, et al. Multivariate analysis of clinical parameters of synchronous primary superficial bladder cancer and upper urinary tract tumor. *J Urol*. 2005 Sep;174(3):859–61; discussion 861.
62. Holmäng S, Hedelin H, Anderström C, Holmberg E, Johansson SL. Long-term followup of a bladder carcinoma cohort: routine followup urography is not necessary. *J Urol*. 1998 Jul;160(1):45–8.

63. Choyke PL. Radiologic evaluation of hematuria: guidelines from the American College of Radiology's appropriateness criteria. *Am Fam Physician*. 2008 Aug 1;78(3):347–52.
64. Hilton S, Jones LP. Recent advances in imaging cancer of the kidney and urinary tract. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am*. 2014 Oct;23(4):863–910.
65. Panebianco V, Narumi Y, Altun E, Bochner BH, Efstathiou JA, Hafeez S, et al. Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Bladder Cancer: Development of VI-RADS (Vesical Imaging-Reporting And Data System). *Eur Urol*. 2018;74(3):294–306.
66. Triantafyllou M, Studer UE, Birkhäuser FD, Fleischmann A, Bains LJ, Petralia G, et al. Ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide allow for the detection of metastases in normal sized pelvic lymph nodes of patients with bladder and/or prostate cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013 Feb;49(3):616–24.
67. Yafi FA, Brimo F, Steinberg J, Aprikian AG, Tanguay S, Kassouf W. Prospective analysis of sensitivity and specificity of urinary cytology and other urinary biomarkers for bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol*. 2015 Feb;33(2):66.e25-31.
68. Têtu B. Diagnosis of urothelial carcinoma from urine. *Mod Pathol*. 2009 Jun;22 Suppl 2:S53-59.
69. Raitanen M-P, Aine R, Rintala E, Kallio J, Rajala P, Juusela H, et al. Differences between local and review urinary cytology in diagnosis of bladder cancer. An interobserver multicenter analysis. *Eur Urol*. 2002 Mar;41(3):284–9.
70. Karakiewicz PI, Benayoun S, Zippe C, Lüdecke G, Boman H, Sanchez-Carbayo M, et al. Institutional variability in the accuracy of urinary cytology for predicting recurrence of transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *BJU Int*. 2006 May;97(5):997–1001.
71. Soria F, Droller MJ, Lotan Y, Gontero P, D'Andrea D, Gust KM, et al. An up-to-date catalog of available urinary biomarkers for the surveillance of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *World J Urol*. 2018 Dec;36(12):1981–95.
72. Kurth KH, Schellhammer PF, Okajima E, Akdas A, Jakse G, Herr HW, et al. Current methods of assessing and treating carcinoma in situ of the bladder with or without involvement of the prostatic urethra. *Int J Urol*. 1995 Jun;2 Suppl 2:8–22.
73. Krajewski W, Kościelska-Kasprzak K, Rymaszewska J, Zdrojowy R. How different cystoscopy methods influence patient sexual satisfaction, anxiety, and depression levels: a randomized prospective trial. *Qual Life Res*. 2017;26(3):625–34.
74. Aaronson DS, Walsh TJ, Smith JF, Davies BJ, Hsieh MH, Konety BR. Meta-analysis: does lidocaine gel before flexible cystoscopy provide pain relief? *BJU Int*. 2009 Aug;104(4):506–9; discussion 509-510.
75. Pytel A, Schmeller N. New aspect of photodynamic diagnosis of bladder tumors: fluorescence cytology. *Urology*. 2002 Feb;59(2):216–9.
76. Mowatt G, N'Dow J, Vale L, Nabi G, Boachie C, Cook JA, et al. Photodynamic diagnosis of bladder cancer compared with white light cystoscopy: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2011 Jan;27(1):3–10.

77. Kausch I, Sommerauer M, Montorsi F, Stenzl A, Jacqmin D, Jichlinski P, et al. Photodynamic diagnosis in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review and cumulative analysis of prospective studies. *Eur Urol*. 2010 Apr;57(4):595–606.
78. Kramer MW, Altieri V, Hurler R, Lusuardi L, Merseburger AS, Rassweiler J, et al. Current Evidence of Transurethral En-bloc Resection of Nonmuscle Invasive Bladder Cancer. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2017;3(6):567–76.
79. Anderson C, Weber R, Patel D, Lowrance W, Mellis A, Cookson M, et al. A 10-Item Checklist Improves Reporting of Critical Procedural Elements during Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumor. *J Urol*. 2016 Oct;196(4):1014–20.
80. Brausi M, Collette L, Kurth K, van der Meijden AP, Oosterlinck W, Witjes JA, et al. Variability in the recurrence rate at first follow-up cystoscopy after TUR in stage Ta T1 transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a combined analysis of seven EORTC studies. *Eur Urol*. 2002 May;41(5):523–31.
81. Richterstetter M, Wullich B, Amann K, Haeberle L, Engehausen DG, Goebell PJ, et al. The value of extended transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) in the treatment of bladder cancer. *BJU Int*. 2012 Jul;110(2 Pt 2):E76–79.
82. En bloc resection of urothelium carcinoma of the bladder (EBRUC): a European multicenter study to compare safety, efficacy, and outcome of laser an... - PubMed - NCBI [Internet]. [cited 2019 Mar 29]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25910478>
83. “En Bloc” Resection of Nonmuscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: A Prospective Single-center Study. - PubMed - NCBI [Internet]. [cited 2019 Mar 29]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26776561>
84. Migliari R, Buffardi A, Ghabin H. Thulium Laser Endoscopic En Bloc Enucleation of Nonmuscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer. *J Endourol*. 2015 Nov;29(11):1258–62.
85. Zhang X-R, Feng C, Zhu W-D, Si J-M, Gu B-J, Guo H, et al. Two Micrometer Continuous-Wave Thulium Laser Treating Primary Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer: Is It Feasible? A Randomized Prospective Study. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2015 Oct;33(10):517–23.
86. Mariappan P, Zachou A, Grigor KM, Edinburgh Uro-Oncology Group. Detrusor muscle in the first, apparently complete transurethral resection of bladder tumour specimen is a surrogate marker of resection quality, predicts risk of early recurrence, and is dependent on operator experience. *Eur Urol*. 2010 May;57(5):843–9.
87. Mariappan P, Finney SM, Head E, Somani BK, Zachou A, Smith G, et al. Good quality white-light transurethral resection of bladder tumours (GQ-WLTURBT) with experienced surgeons performing complete resections and obtaining detrusor muscle reduces early recurrence in new non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: validation across time and place and recommendation for benchmarking. *BJU Int*. 2012 Jun;109(11):1666–73.
88. Neumann E, Mayer J, Russo GI, Amend B, Rausch S, Deininger S, et al. Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumors: Next-generation Virtual Reality Training for Surgeons. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2018 May 22;

89. Bolat D, Gunlusoy B, Degirmenci T, Ceylan Y, Polat S, Aydin E, et al. Comparing the short-term outcomes and complications of monopolar and bipolar transurethral resection of non-muscle invasive bladder cancers: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Arch Esp Urol*. 2016 Jun;69(5):225–33.
90. Teoh JY-C, Chan ES-Y, Yip S-Y, Tam H-M, Chiu PK-F, Yee C-H, et al. Comparison of Detrusor Muscle Sampling Rate in Monopolar and Bipolar Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumor: A Randomized Trial. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2017 May;24(5):1428–34.
91. Venkatramani V, Panda A, Manojkumar R, Kekre NS. Monopolar versus bipolar transurethral resection of bladder tumors: a single center, parallel arm, randomized, controlled trial. *J Urol*. 2014 Jun;191(6):1703–7.
92. Sugihara T, Yasunaga H, Horiguchi H, Matsui H, Nishimatsu H, Nakagawa T, et al. Comparison of perioperative outcomes including severe bladder injury between monopolar and bipolar transurethral resection of bladder tumors: a population based comparison. *J Urol*. 2014 Nov;192(5):1355–9.
93. Xu Y, Guan W, Chen W, Xie C, Ouyang Y, Wu Y, et al. Comparing the treatment outcomes of potassium-titanyl-phosphate laser vaporization and transurethral electroresection for primary nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer: A prospective, randomized study. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2015 Apr;47(4):306–11.
94. Planelles Gómez J, Olmos Sánchez L, Cardosa Benet JJ, Martínez López E, Vidal Moreno JF. Holmium YAG Photocoagulation: Safe and Economical Alternative to Transurethral Resection in Small Nonmuscle-Invasive Bladder Tumors. *J Endourol*. 2017;31(7):674–8.
95. Cumberbatch MGK, Foerster B, Catto JWF, Kamat AM, Kassouf W, Jubber I, et al. Repeat Transurethral Resection in Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Eur Urol*. 2018;73(6):925–33.
96. Naselli A, Hurler R, Paparella S, Buffi NM, Lughezzani G, Lista G, et al. Role of Restaging Transurethral Resection for T1 Non-muscle invasive Bladder Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2018;4(4):558–67.
97. Grimm M-O, Steinhoff C, Simon X, Spiegelhalder P, Ackermann R, Vogeli TA. Effect of routine repeat transurethral resection for superficial bladder cancer: a long-term observational study. *J Urol*. 2003 Aug;170(2 Pt 1):433–7.
98. Divrik RT, Yildirim U, Zorlu F, Ozen H. The effect of repeat transurethral resection on recurrence and progression rates in patients with T1 tumors of the bladder who received intravesical mitomycin: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *J Urol*. 2006 May;175(5):1641–4.
99. Sfakianos JP, Kim PH, Hakimi AA, Herr HW. The effect of restaging transurethral resection on recurrence and progression rates in patients with nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer treated with intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guérin. *J Urol*. 2014 Feb;191(2):341–5.
100. Hashine K, Ide T, Nakashima T, Hosokawa T, Ninomiya I, Teramoto N. Results of second transurethral resection for high-grade T1 bladder cancer. *Urol Ann*. 2016 Mar;8(1):10–5.

101. Dalbagni G, Vora K, Kaag M, Cronin A, Bochner B, Donat SM, et al. Clinical outcome in a contemporary series of restaged patients with clinical T1 bladder cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2009 Dec;56(6):903–10.
102. Tumour stage on re-staging transurethral resection predicts recurrence and progression-free survival of patients with high-risk non-muscle invasive... - PubMed - NCBI [Internet]. [cited 2019 Mar 31]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24940455>
103. Palou J, Pisano F, Sylvester R, Joniau S, Serretta V, Larré S, et al. Recurrence, progression and cancer-specific mortality according to stage at re-TUR in T1G3 bladder cancer patients treated with BCG: not as bad as previously thought. *World J Urol.* 2018 Oct;36(10):1621–7.
104. Sylvester RJ, van der Meijden APM, Oosterlinck W, Witjes JA, Bouffieux C, Denis L, et al. Predicting recurrence and progression in individual patients with stage Ta T1 bladder cancer using EORTC risk tables: a combined analysis of 2596 patients from seven EORTC trials. *Eur Urol.* 2006 Mar;49(3):466–465; discussion 475-477.
105. Fernandez-Gomez J, Madero R, Solsona E, Unda M, Martinez-Piñeiro L, Gonzalez M, et al. Predicting nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer recurrence and progression in patients treated with bacillus Calmette-Guerin: the CUETO scoring model. *J Urol.* 2009 Nov;182(5):2195–203.
106. van Rhijn BWG, Zuiverloon TCM, Vis AN, Radvanyi F, van Leenders GJLH, Ooms BCM, et al. Molecular grade (FGFR3/MIB-1) and EORTC risk scores are predictive in primary non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2010 Sep;58(3):433–41.
107. Fernandez-Gomez J, Madero R, Solsona E, Unda M, Martinez-Piñeiro L, Ojea A, et al. The EORTC tables overestimate the risk of recurrence and progression in patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer treated with bacillus Calmette-Guérin: external validation of the EORTC risk tables. *Eur Urol.* 2011 Sep;60(3):423–30.
108. Lammers RJM, Witjes WPJ, Hendricksen K, Caris CTM, Janzing-Pastors MHC, Witjes JA. Smoking status is a risk factor for recurrence after transurethral resection of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2011 Oct;60(4):713–20.
109. Rink M, Xylinas E, Babjuk M, Pycha A, Karakiewicz PI, Novara G, et al. Smoking reduces the efficacy of intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guérin immunotherapy in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2012 Dec;62(6):1204–6.
110. Rink M, Xylinas E, Babjuk M, Hansen J, Pycha A, Comploj E, et al. Impact of smoking on outcomes of patients with a history of recurrent nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol.* 2012 Dec;188(6):2120–7.
111. Crivelli JJ, Xylinas E, Kluth LA, Rieken M, Rink M, Shariat SF. Effect of smoking on outcomes of urothelial carcinoma: a systematic review of the literature. *Eur Urol.* 2014 Apr;65(4):742–54.
112. Grotenhuis AJ, Ebben CW, Aben KK, Witjes JA, Vrieling A, Vermeulen SH, et al. The effect of smoking and timing of smoking cessation on clinical outcome in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol.* 2015 Feb;33(2):65.e9-17.

113. Muller J, Grosclaude P, Lapôtre-Ledoux B, Woronoff A-S, Guizard A-V, Bara S, et al. Trends in the risk of second primary cancer among bladder cancer survivors: a population-based cohort of 10 047 patients. *BJU Int.* 2016;118(1):53–9.
114. Soloway MS, Masters S. Urothelial susceptibility to tumor cell implantation: influence of cauterization. *Cancer.* 1980 Sep 1;46(5):1158–63.
115. Pan JS, Slocum HK, Rustum YM, Greco WR, Gaeta JF, Huben RP. Inhibition of implantation of murine bladder tumor by thiotepa in cauterized bladder. *J Urol.* 1989 Dec;142(6):1589–93.
116. Brocks CP, Büttner H, Böhle A. Inhibition of tumor implantation by intravesical gemcitabine in a murine model of superficial bladder cancer. *J Urol.* 2005 Sep;174(3):1115–8.
117. Oosterlinck W, Kurth KH, Schröder F, Bultinck J, Hammond B, Sylvester R. A prospective European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Genitourinary Group randomized trial comparing transurethral resection followed by a single intravesical instillation of epirubicin or water in single stage Ta, T1 papillary carcinoma of the bladder. *J Urol.* 1993 Apr;149(4):749–52.
118. Sylvester RJ, Oosterlinck W, Holmang S, Sydes MR, Birtle A, Gudjonsson S, et al. Systematic Review and Individual Patient Data Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials Comparing a Single Immediate Instillation of Chemotherapy After Transurethral Resection with Transurethral Resection Alone in Patients with Stage pTa-pT1 Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: Which Patients Benefit from the Instillation? *Eur Urol.* 2016 Feb;69(2):231–44.
119. Sylvester RJ, Oosterlinck W, van der Meijden APM. A single immediate postoperative instillation of chemotherapy decreases the risk of recurrence in patients with stage Ta T1 bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of published results of randomized clinical trials. *J Urol.* 2004 Jun;171(6 Pt 1):2186–90, quiz 2435.
120. Abern MR, Owusu RA, Anderson MR, Rampersaud EN, Inman BA. Perioperative intravesical chemotherapy in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2013 Apr 1;11(4):477–84.
121. Perlis N, Zlotta AR, Beyene J, Finelli A, Fleshner NE, Kulkarni GS. Immediate post-transurethral resection of bladder tumor intravesical chemotherapy prevents non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrences: an updated meta-analysis on 2548 patients and quality-of-evidence review. *Eur Urol.* 2013 Sep;64(3):421–30.
122. Messing EM, Tangen CM, Lerner SP, Sahasrabudhe DM, Koppie TM, Wood DP, et al. Effect of Intravesical Instillation of Gemcitabine vs Saline Immediately Following Resection of Suspected Low-Grade Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer on Tumor Recurrence: SWOG S0337 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2018 08;319(18):1880–8.
123. Böhle A, Leyh H, Frei C, Kühn M, Tschada R, Pottek T, et al. Single postoperative instillation of gemcitabine in patients with non-muscle-invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III multicentre study. *Eur Urol.* 2009 Sep;56(3):495–503.

124. Pode D, Alon Y, Horowitz AT, Vlodavsky I, Biran S. The mechanism of human bladder tumor implantation in an in vitro model. *J Urol*. 1986 Aug;136(2):482–6.
125. Böhle A, Jurczok A, Ardelt P, Wulf T, Ulmer AJ, Jocham D, et al. Inhibition of bladder carcinoma cell adhesion by oligopeptide combinations in vitro and in vivo. *J Urol*. 2002 Jan;167(1):357–63.
126. Gofrit ON, Pode D, Pizov G, Zorn KC, Katz R, Duvdevani M, et al. The natural history of bladder carcinoma in situ after initial response to bacillus Calmette-Guérin immunotherapy. *Urol Oncol*. 2009 Jun;27(3):258–62.
127. Oddens JR, van der Meijden APM, Sylvester R. One immediate postoperative instillation of chemotherapy in low risk Ta, T1 bladder cancer patients. Is it always safe? *Eur Urol*. 2004 Sep;46(3):336–8.
128. Elmamoun MH, Christmas TJ, Woodhouse CRJ. Destruction of the bladder by single dose Mitomycin C for low-stage transitional cell carcinoma (TCC)--avoidance, recognition, management and consent. *BJU Int*. 2014 May;113(5b):E34-38.
129. Tolley DA, Parmar MK, Grigor KM, Lallemand G, Benyon LL, Fellows J, et al. The effect of intravesical mitomycin C on recurrence of newly diagnosed superficial bladder cancer: a further report with 7 years of follow up. *J Urol*. 1996 Apr;155(4):1233–8.
130. Huncharek M, McGarry R, Kupelnick B. Impact of intravesical chemotherapy on recurrence rate of recurrent superficial transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: results of a meta-analysis. *Anticancer Res*. 2001 Feb;21(1B):765–9.
131. Böhle A, Bock PR. Intravesical bacille Calmette-Guérin versus mitomycin C in superficial bladder cancer: formal meta-analysis of comparative studies on tumor progression. *Urology*. 2004 Apr;63(4):682–6; discussion 686-687.
132. Sylvester RJ, van der MEIJDEN APM, Lamm DL. Intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guerin reduces the risk of progression in patients with superficial bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of the published results of randomized clinical trials. *J Urol*. 2002 Nov;168(5):1964–70.
133. Malmström P-U, Sylvester RJ, Crawford DE, Friedrich M, Krege S, Rintala E, et al. An individual patient data meta-analysis of the long-term outcome of randomised studies comparing intravesical mitomycin C versus bacillus Calmette-Guérin for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2009 Aug;56(2):247–56.
134. Sylvester RJ, Brausi MA, Kirkels WJ, Hoeltl W, Calais Da Silva F, Powell PH, et al. Long-term efficacy results of EORTC genito-urinary group randomized phase 3 study 30911 comparing intravesical instillations of epirubicin, bacillus Calmette-Guérin, and bacillus Calmette-Guérin plus isoniazid in patients with intermediate- and high-risk stage Ta T1 urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Eur Urol*. 2010 May;57(5):766–73.
135. Shang PF, Kwong J, Wang ZP, Tian J, Jiang L, Yang K, et al. Intravesical Bacillus Calmette-Guérin versus epirubicin for Ta and T1 bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011 May 11;(5):CD006885.

136. Bosschieter J, Nieuwenhuijzen JA, van Ginkel T, Vis AN, Witte B, Newling D, et al. Value of an Immediate Intravesical Instillation of Mitomycin C in Patients with Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A Prospective Multicentre Randomised Study in 2243 patients. *Eur Urol*. 2018;73(2):226–32.
137. Boufflioux C, Kurth KH, Bono A, Oosterlinck W, Kruger CB, De Pauw M, et al. Intravesical adjuvant chemotherapy for superficial transitional cell bladder carcinoma: results of 2 European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer randomized trials with mitomycin C and doxorubicin comparing early versus delayed instillations and short-term versus long-term treatment. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Genitourinary Group. *J Urol*. 1995 Mar;153(3 Pt 2):934–41.
138. Kaasinen E, Rintala E, Hellström P, Viitanen J, Juusela H, Rajala P, et al. Factors explaining recurrence in patients undergoing chemoimmunotherapy regimens for frequently recurring superficial bladder carcinoma. *Eur Urol*. 2002 Aug;42(2):167–74.
139. Sylvester RJ, Oosterlinck W, Witjes JA. The schedule and duration of intravesical chemotherapy in patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review of the published results of randomized clinical trials. *Eur Urol*. 2008 Apr;53(4):709–19.
140. Bosschieter J, Nieuwenhuijzen JA, Vis AN, van Ginkel T, Lissenberg-Witte BI, Beckers GMA, et al. An immediate, single intravesical instillation of mitomycin C is of benefit in patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer irrespective of prognostic risk groups. *Urol Oncol*. 2018;36(9):400.e7-400.e14.
141. Au JL, Badalament RA, Wientjes MG, Young DC, Warner JA, Venema PL, et al. Methods to improve efficacy of intravesical mitomycin C: results of a randomized phase III trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2001 Apr 18;93(8):597–604.
142. Giesbers AA, Van Helsdingen PJ, Kramer AE. Recurrence of superficial bladder carcinoma after intravesical instillation of mitomycin-C. Comparison of exposure times. *Br J Urol*. 1989 Feb;63(2):176–9.
143. Kuroda M, Niiijima T, Kotake T, Akaza H, Hinotsu S, 6th Trial of the Japanese Urological Cancer Research Group. Effect of prophylactic treatment with intravesical epirubicin on recurrence of superficial bladder cancer--The 6th Trial of the Japanese Urological Cancer Research Group (JUCRG): a randomized trial of intravesical epirubicin at dose of 20mg/40ml, 30mg/40ml, 40mg/40ml. *Eur Urol*. 2004 May;45(5):600–5.
144. Arends TJH, van der Heijden AG, Witjes JA. Combined chemohyperthermia: 10-year single center experience in 160 patients with nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 2014 Sep;192(3):708–13.
145. Arends TJH, Nativ O, Maffezzini M, de Cobelli O, Canepa G, Verweij F, et al. Results of a Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Intravesical Chemohyperthermia with Mitomycin C Versus Bacillus Calmette-Guérin for Adjuvant Treatment of Patients with Intermediate- and High-risk Non-Muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2016;69(6):1046–52.
146. Di Stasi SM, Giannantoni A, Giurioli A, Valenti M, Zampa G, Storti L, et al. Sequential BCG and electromotive mitomycin versus BCG alone for high-risk superficial bladder cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2006 Jan;7(1):43–51.

147. Shelley MD, Kynaston H, Court J, Wilt TJ, Coles B, Burgon K, et al. A systematic review of intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guérin plus transurethral resection vs transurethral resection alone in Ta and T1 bladder cancer. *BJU Int.* 2001 Aug;88(3):209–16.
148. Han RF, Pan JG. Can intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guérin reduce recurrence in patients with superficial bladder cancer? A meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Urology.* 2006 Jun;67(6):1216–23.
149. Shelley MD, Wilt TJ, Court J, Coles B, Kynaston H, Mason MD. Intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guérin is superior to mitomycin C in reducing tumour recurrence in high-risk superficial bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *BJU Int.* 2004 Mar;93(4):485–90.
150. Böhle A, Jocham D, Bock PR. Intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guerin versus mitomycin C for superficial bladder cancer: a formal meta-analysis of comparative studies on recurrence and toxicity. *J Urol.* 2003 Jan;169(1):90–5.
151. Duchek M, Johansson R, Jahnson S, Mestad O, Hellström P, Hellsten S, et al. Bacillus Calmette-Guérin is superior to a combination of epirubicin and interferon-alpha2b in the intravesical treatment of patients with stage T1 urinary bladder cancer. A prospective, randomized, Nordic study. *Eur Urol.* 2010 Jan;57(1):25–31.
152. Järvinen R, Kaasinen E, Sankila A, Rintala E, FinnBladder Group. Long-term efficacy of maintenance bacillus Calmette-Guérin versus maintenance mitomycin C instillation therapy in frequently recurrent TaT1 tumours without carcinoma in situ: a subgroup analysis of the prospective, randomised FinnBladder I study with a 20-year follow-up. *Eur Urol.* 2009 Aug;56(2):260–5.
153. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017 Jan;67(1):7–30.
154. Babjuk M, Böhle A, Burger M, Capoun O, Cohen D, Compérat EM, et al. EAU Guidelines on Non-Muscle-invasive Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: Update 2016. *Eur Urol.* 2017;71(3):447–61.
155. Babjuk M, Oosterlinck W, Sylvester R, Kaasinen E, Böhle A, Palou-Redorta J, et al. EAU guidelines on non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Eur Urol.* 2008 Aug;54(2):303–14.
156. Holmäng S, Ströck V. Should follow-up cystoscopy in bacillus Calmette-Guérin-treated patients continue after five tumour-free years? *Eur Urol.* 2012 Mar;61(3):503–7.
157. Matsumoto K, Kikuchi E, Horiguchi Y, Tanaka N, Miyajima A, Nakagawa K, et al. Late recurrence and progression in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancers after 5-year tumor-free periods. *Urology.* 2010 Jun;75(6):1385–90.
158. Vartolomei MD, Kiss B, Vidal A, Burkhard F, Thalmann GN, Roth B. Long-term results of a prospective randomized trial assessing the impact of re-adaptation of the dorsolateral peritoneal layer after extended pelvic lymph node dissection and cystectomy. *BJU International.* 2016;117(4):618–28.
159. Lamm DL, Blumenstein BA, Crissman JD, Montie JE, Gottesman JE, Lowe BA, et al. Maintenance bacillus Calmette-Guerin immunotherapy for recurrent TA, T1 and carcinoma in situ transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a randomized Southwest Oncology Group Study. *J Urol.* 2000 Apr;163(4):1124–9.

160. Oosterlinck W, Lobel B, Jakse G, Malmström P-U, Stöckle M, Sternberg C, et al. Guidelines on bladder cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2002 Feb;41(2):105–12.
161. De Berardinis E, Busetto GM, Antonini G, Giovannone R, Gentile V. T1G3 high-risk NMIBC (non-muscle invasive bladder cancer): conservative treatment versus immediate cystectomy. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2011 Dec;43(4):1047–57.
162. Gårdmark T, Bladström A, Hellsten S, Malmström P-U, Members Of The Swedish National Bladder Cancer Registry. Analysis of clinical characteristics, management and survival of patients with Ta T1 bladder tumours in Sweden between 1997 and 2001. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*. 2006;40(4):276–82.
163. Hernández V, Llorente C, de la Peña E, Pérez-Fernández E, Guijarro A, Sola I. Long-term oncological outcomes of an active surveillance program in recurrent low grade Ta bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol*. 2016 Apr;34(4):165.e19-23.
164. Yoo KH, Lim TJ, Chang S-G. Monthly intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guérin maintenance therapy for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: 10-year experience in a single institute. *Exp Ther Med*. 2012 Feb;3(2):221–5.
165. Cheng CW, Chan SFP, Chan LW, Chan CK, Ng CF, Cheung HY, et al. Twelve-year follow up of a randomized prospective trial comparing bacillus Calmette-Guerin and epirubicin as adjuvant therapy in superficial bladder cancer. *Int J Urol*. 2005 May;12(5):449–55.
166. Davis JW, Sheth SI, Doviak MJ, Schellhammer PF. Superficial bladder carcinoma treated with bacillus Calmette-Guerin: progression-free and disease specific survival with minimum 10-year followup. *J Urol*. 2002 Feb;167(2 Pt 1):494–500; discussion 501.
167. Librenjak D, Situm M, Vrdoljak E, Milostić K, Gotovac J. Results of long-term follow-up of patients with superficial bladder carcinoma treated with intravesically applied bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccine according to the schedule of 6 weekly + 6 monthly instillations. *Urol Oncol*. 2012 Jun;30(3):259–65.
168. Weizer AZ, Tallman C, Montgomery JS. Long-term outcomes of intravesical therapy for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *World J Urol*. 2011 Feb;29(1):59–71.
169. Koie T, Ohyama C, Hosogoe S, Yamamoto H, Imai A, Hatakeyama S, et al. Oncological outcomes of a single but extensive transurethral resection followed by appropriate intra-vesical instillation therapy for newly diagnosed non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2015 Sep;47(9):1509–14.
170. Gårdmark T, Jahnsen S, Wahlquist R, Wijkström H, Malmström P-U. Analysis of progression and survival after 10 years of a randomized prospective study comparing mitomycin-C and bacillus Calmette-Guérin in patients with high-risk bladder cancer. *BJU Int*. 2007 Apr;99(4):817–20.
171. Gupta SK, Parr NJ. Outcome of very large superficial bladder tumours: a 10-year experience. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*. 2008;42(3):243–8.
172. Kobayashi H, Kikuchi E, Mikami S, Maeda T, Tanaka N, Miyajima A, et al. Long term follow-up in patients with initially diagnosed low grade Ta non-muscle invasive bladder tumors: tumor recurrence and worsening progression. *BMC Urol*. 2014 Jan 8;14:5.

173. Starke N, Singla N, Haddad A, Lotan Y. Long-term outcomes in a high-risk bladder cancer screening cohort. *BJU Int.* 2016 Apr;117(4):611–7.
174. Herr HW. Age and outcome of superficial bladder cancer treated with bacille Calmette-Guérin therapy. *Urology.* 2007 Jul;70(1):65–8.
175. Alvarez A, Lokeshwar VB. Bladder cancer biomarkers: current developments and future implementation. *Curr Opin Urol.* 2007 Sep;17(5):341–6.
176. Martha O, Porav-Hodade D, Bălan D, Tătaru OS, Sin A, Chibeleian CB, et al. Easily Available Blood Test Neutrophil-To-Lymphocyte Ratio Predicts Progression in High-Risk Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer. *Revista Romana de Medicina de Laborator.* 2019 Mar 31;25(2):181–9.
177. Tang X, Du P, Yang Y. The clinical use of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in bladder cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2017 Oct;22(5):817–25.
178. Low VJ, Wang D, Abel PD. Survival of patients with bladder cancer from a UK hospital: a 10-year follow-up study. *BJU Int.* 2010 Jun;105(12):1667–71.
179. Rieken M, Xylinas E, Kluth L, Crivelli JJ, Chrystal J, Faison T, et al. Long-term cancer-specific outcomes of TaG1 urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Eur Urol.* 2014 Jan;65(1):201–9.
180. Baranyi M, Lippai M, Szatmári Z. [Role of the stroma in the initiation and progression of tumors]. *Orv Hetil.* 2015 Nov 8;156(45):1816–23.
181. Vartolomei MD, Mathieu R, Margulis V, Karam JA, Rouprêt M, Lucca I, et al. Promising role of preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy. *World J Urol.* 2017 Jan;35(1):121–30.
182. Stotz M, Gerger A, Eisner F, Szkandera J, Loibner H, Ress AL, et al. Increased neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is a poor prognostic factor in patients with primary operable and inoperable pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer.* 2013 Jul 23;109(2):416–21.
183. de Martino M, Pantuck AJ, Hofbauer S, Waldert M, Shariat SF, Belldegrun AS, et al. Prognostic impact of preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in localized nonclear cell renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol.* 2013 Dec;190(6):1999–2004.
184. Mbeutcha A, Rouprêt M, Kamat AM, Karakiewicz PI, Lawrentschuk N, Novara G, et al. Prognostic factors and predictive tools for upper tract urothelial carcinoma: a systematic review. *World J Urol.* 2017 Mar;35(3):337–53.
185. Mathieu R, Vartolomei MD, Mbeutcha A, Karakiewicz PI, Briganti A, Roupret M, et al. Urothelial cancer of the upper urinary tract: emerging biomarkers and integrative models for risk stratification. *Minerva Urol Nefrol.* 2016 Aug;68(4):381–95.
186. Lucca I, Jichlinski P, Shariat SF, Rouprêt M, Rieken M, Kluth LA, et al. The Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte Ratio as a Prognostic Factor for Patients with Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder Following Radical Cystectomy: Validation and Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Focus.* 2016 Apr;2(1):79–85.
187. Mathieu R, Lucca I, Rouprêt M, Briganti A, Shariat SF. The prognostic role of lymphovascular invasion in urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Nat Rev Urol.* 2016;13(8):471–9.

188. Vartolomei MD, Ferro M, Cantiello F, Lucarelli G, Di Stasi S, Hurle R, et al. Validation of Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte Ratio in a Multi-institutional Cohort of Patients With T1G3 Non-muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2018;16(6):445–52.
189. Vartolomei MD, Porav-Hodade D, Ferro M, Mathieu R, Abufaraj M, Foerster B, et al. Prognostic role of pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) in patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Urol Oncol*. 2018;36(9):389–99.
190. Balan D, Martha O, Chibeleian CB, Tataru S, Voidezan S, Sin A, et al. Comparison of 10-year overall survival between patients with G1 and G2 grade Ta bladder tumors. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018 Apr;97(16):e0522.
191. Celik O, Akand M, Keskin MZ, Yoldas M, Ilbey YO. Preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) may be predictive of pathologic stage in patients with bladder cancer larger than 3 cm. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2016;20(4):652–6.
192. Mano R, Baniel J, Shoshany O, Margel D, Bar-On T, Nativ O, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts progression and recurrence of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol*. 2015 Feb;33(2):67.e1-7.
193. Schulz GB, Grimm T, Buchner A, Jokisch F, Grabbert M, Schneevoigt B-S, et al. Prognostic Value of the Preoperative Platelet-to-leukocyte Ratio for Oncologic Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Radical Cystectomy for Bladder Cancer. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2017;15(6):e915–21.
194. Dai J, Tang K, Xiao W, Yu G, Zeng J, Li W, et al. Prognostic significance of C-reactive protein in urological cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2014;15(8):3369–75.
195. Gierth M, Mayr R, Aziz A, Krieger S, Wullich B, Pycha A, et al. Preoperative anemia is associated with adverse outcome in patients with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder following radical cystectomy. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 2015 Oct;141(10):1819–26.
196. Mártha, Orsolya, Daniel Balan, Daniel Porav-Hodade, Emőke Drágus, Mihai Dorin Vartolomei, Călin Bogdan Chibeleian, Angela Borda, Ákos Pytel, and Olivér Árpád Vida. “The Role of Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio in Patients with PTa Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer.” *Revista Romana de Medicina de Laborator* 28, no. 1 (January 1, 2020): 29–38. <https://doi.org/10.2478/rrlm-2020-0001>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am eternally grateful to **Professor Dr. Orsolya Mártha**, who made me fell in love with urology and without whose support and attention I could not have done my PhD work.

Gratitude for **Assistant Professor Dr. Ákos Pytel**, for allowing, supporting and supervising my scientific work during my PhD training.

Special thanks go to **Assistant Professor Dr. Mihai Dorin Vartolomei**, who has supported and assisted my scientific work since I was a student.

Many thanks to my parents, **Dorel Balan** and **Enikő Balan**, and my brother, **Dr. Robert Balan** for their support and love. Thanks to my wife, **Dr. Noemi Balan-Bernstein**, for always being by my side, supporting and encouraging me.